## 13<sup>th</sup> DHC 2019

January 23-24-25 Papendal, Arnhem

# HOVON • NVvH Dutch Hematology Congress

# Managing antithrombotic medication in thrombocytopenic cancer patients

Moderator Erik A.M. Beckers, MD PhD

> Speaker Avi Leader, MD



## **Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form**

In accordance with the rules of the Health Care Inspectorate (IGZ)

#### Name: Avi Leader

Affiliations: • CARIM, Maastricht University, the Netherlands

• Rabin Medical Center, Petah Tikva, Israel

 $\square$  I have the following potential conflict(s) of interest to report

Type of affiliation / financial interest	Name of commercial company
Receipt of grants/research supports:	
Receipt of honoraria or consultation fees:	
Participation in a company sponsored speaker's bureau:	
Stock shareholder:	
Other support (please specify):	
Scientific advisory board	Bayer

### Who?





#### How common is it?

#### In cancer

- Antithrombotic medication often indicated<sup>1,2,3</sup>
- Thrombocytopenia common

- Thrombocytopenia & antithrombotic indication not uncommon
  - As high as 45%  $^4$
  - Median 5 patients per month <sup>5</sup>



<sup>1</sup>Fitzpatrick, Thr Res 2017; <sup>2</sup>Falanga, Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 2017;
<sup>3</sup> Navi, JACC 2017; <sup>4</sup>Vinholt, Platelets, 2016; <sup>5</sup>Leader, ICTHIC 2018

#### Antithrombotics in thrombocytopenia and cancer: Key Questions

- 1. Does thrombocytopenia protect against thrombosis?
- 2. At which platelet count should changes be made?
- 3. What is the bleeding risk?
- 4. When is the risk of thrombosis high vs. low ?



- 5. Is it safe to reduce doses or increase the platelet transfusion threshold?
- 6. Can DOACs be safely used for CAT or AF in thrombocytopenia?
- 7. How to manage antiplatelet medication in acute coronary syndrome?



#### **Does thrombocytopenia protect against thrombosis?**

• Does not protect against VTE<sup>1,2,3</sup> or ischemic arterial events<sup>5</sup>

• Adverse short and long term outcomes<sup>4,5</sup>



Discontinuation of antithrombotic medication<sup>4,6</sup>

Maastricht UMC+Maastricht University

RABIN MEDICAL CENTI BEILINSON • HASHARO <sup>1</sup>Gerber, Blood 2008; <sup>2</sup>Labrador, Haematologica 2013; <sup>3</sup>Khanal, Am J Hem 2016; <sup>4</sup>Feher, Oncologist 2017; <sup>5</sup>Del Prete, Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk 2018; <sup>6</sup>Sundstrom, Circulation 2017

#### High risk scenario

- Increased risk of thrombosis in cancer<sup>1,2</sup>
  - High CAT recurrence (4-17% within 6 months of VTE)
  - Ischemic stroke/MI (4.7% within 6 months of diagnosis<sup>2</sup>)

- Significant bleeding risks
  - Anticoagulation in cancer<sup>1</sup>
  - Chemotherapy induced thrombocytopenia<sup>3</sup>





#### Evidence → Guidelines



**RABIN MEDICAL CENTER** 

BEILINSON • HASHARON

#### Flow of management choices





Leader, Critical Reviews Oncology / Hematology, 2018

#### At which platelet count should changes be made?

Platelets > 50,000/µL: Full dose anticoagulation safe<sup>1</sup>

- Platelets < **50,000/μL** 
  - Anticoagulation: Increase in bleeding<sup>2,3</sup>
  - Aspirin: No increase in bleeding<sup>4</sup>
    - >30,000/µL

- 10,000/µL < platelets < 50,000/µL:
  - No consistent evidence that counts affect bleeding<sup>3,5,6</sup>

Maastricht UMC+ Maastricht University RABIN MEDICAL CENTER Maastricht University BELLINSON + HASHARON <sup>1</sup>Khanal, Am J hem 2016; <sup>2</sup>Samuelson-Bannow, J Thromb Thrombolysis 2017; <sup>3</sup>Uhl, Blood 2017; <sup>4</sup>Feher, Oncologist, 2017; <sup>5</sup>Li, Blood Adv 2018; <sup>6</sup>Labrador, Haematologica 2013;

#### High bleeding risk in CAT and thrombocytopenia







Leader, Critical Reviews Oncology / Hematology, 2018

#### When is the risk of recurrent thrombosis lower?

- Sub-acute and remote VTE
- Autologous SCT

🕐 Maastricht UMC+

Maastricht University

- Mainly remote VTE (>3 mo.)
- Catheter related thrombosis<sup>1</sup>
  - Contradictive data exists<sup>2</sup>





<sup>1</sup>Htun, J Thrombosis Thrombolysis 2018; <sup>2</sup>Kopolovic, Ann Hem 2015

**Reducing the high bleeding risk** 





Is it safe (and effective) to reduce LMWH doses?



• **Conflicting** results in 2 small cohorts<sup>1,2,3</sup>

Bridging with reduced dose LMWH in cancer → safe and feasible<sup>4</sup>

• Prophylactic LMWH doses safe in veno-occlusive disease<sup>5,6</sup>

• Safety promising but efficacy unclear

#### VTE



<sup>1</sup>Kopolovic, Ann hem 2015; <sup>2</sup>Khanal, Am J Hem 2016; <sup>3</sup>Samuelson-Bannow, RPTH 2018; <sup>4</sup>Or, Transplantation 1996; <sup>5</sup>Simon, BMT 2001; <sup>6</sup>Saccullo, Am J Hem 2002

### Is it safe to increase platelet transfusion threshold?

• Efficacy not proven<sup>1</sup>



- The ideal target is not known<sup>1</sup>
  - Consider platelet function ???<sup>2</sup>

- Not without risk
  - Transfusion-related adverse events, including thrombosis<sup>3,4</sup>
  - Platelet transfusion refractoriness
    - Discontinuation of anticoagulation<sup>1,4,5</sup>
  - Economic toxicity

VTE



<sup>1</sup>Li, Blood adv 2018; <sup>2</sup>Baaten, Haematologica 2018; <sup>3</sup>Khorana, Arch Int Med 2008; <sup>4</sup>Samuelson-Bannow, J Thromb Thrombolysis 2017; <sup>5</sup>Houghton, Leuk Lymph 2017

#### CAT and thrombocytopenia, ISTH guidelines (1)

• Platelets ≥ 50,000/µL: Full therapeutic anticoagulation; No platelet transfusion

- Acute CAT <u>and</u> platelets < 50,000/μL</li>
  - <u>and</u> **high risk** of thrombus progression:

**Full** anticoagulation (LMWH/UFH); **With** platelet Tx (target ≥ 40-50,000/µL)

- <u>and</u> **lower risk** of thrombus progression:
  - <u>IF</u> platelets **25-50,000/μL**: **Reduce** LMWH dose (50%/prophylactic)
  - <u>IF</u> platelets < **25,000/μL**: **Hold**

VTE



#### CAT and thrombocytopenia, ISTH guidelines (2)

- Sub-acute or chronic CAT <u>and</u> platelets < 50,000/μL
  - Same as acute CAT and lower risk for thrombus progression
- When platelets > 50,000/μL: Resume full dose without transfusion support, if no Cl

• IVC filters: Only if absolute contra-indication to anticoagulation

• **DOACs** when platelets < **50,000/µL** : May **not** be appropriate





#### Thrombocytopenia in clinical trials of DOACs in cancer patients

Study	Study population	DOAC type	PLT inclusion	DOAC use in TCP		Median
name	and treatment		criteria (x	PLT	Change	baseline PLT
			10 <sup>9</sup> /L)	threshold (x		(x 10 <sup>9</sup> /L)
				10 <sup>9</sup> /L)		
Hokusai	• Treatment of	Edoxaban	≥ 50	NS	NS	50-100 in
Cancer <sup>1</sup>	CAT					6.1%
Adam	• DOAC vs.	Apixaban	≥ 50	NS	NS	NS
VTE <sup>2</sup>	Dalteparin					
Select_d <sup>3</sup>	-	Rivaroxaban	≥ 100	< 50	Hold <sup>a</sup>	NS
Avert <sup>4</sup>	• Prophylaxis in	Apixaban	≥ 50	NS <sup>b</sup>	NS	NS
	cancer					
Cassini <sup>5</sup>	outpatients	Rivaroxaban	≥ 50	< 25 for > 1	Hold <sup>a</sup>	NS
	• DOAC vs.			week		
	placebo					

<sup>a</sup> Resume when platelets above the prespecified threshold

<sup>b</sup> Only 1/105 (1%) had apixaban discontinued due to TCP

CAT, cancer associated thrombosis; DOAC, direct oral anticoagulant; NS, not specified; PLT, platelet count

VTE



<sup>1</sup>Raskob, NEJM 2018; <sup>2</sup>McBane, #421 ASH 2018; <sup>3</sup>Young, JCO 2018; <sup>4</sup>Carrier, NEJM 2018; <sup>5</sup>Khorana, #LBA-1 ASH 2018

# Can DOACs be safely used for CAT in thrombocytopenia?

We really don't know, and there is reason to exercise caution, because:

- 1. Increased clinically rel. and/or major bleeding with edoxaban and rivaroxaban<sup>1,2</sup>
  - ISTH guidance suggests LMWH over DOAC for CAT with high risk of bleeding<sup>3</sup>

2. Increased major bleeding with prophylactic DOAC doses vs. placebo in cancer<sup>4,5</sup>

3. No cohorts of DOAC use in CAT and thrombocytopenia



#### Anticoagulation management: Atrial fibrillation, evidence

- Extrapolate:
  - **High bleeding** risk (VTE in thrombocytopenia & cancer)
  - Low absolute risk of thrombosis??? (non-cancer LMWH bridging)<sup>4</sup>
    - *But*: Cancer is different<sup>4</sup>

- No cohorts of AC in AF with platelets < 50,000/μL<sup>1</sup>
  - DOAC vs. VKA in AF with platelets < 100,000/ $\mu$ L<sup>2</sup>
    - Trend toward better safety with DOAC; equivalent effectiveness
  - Reduced-dose rivaroxaban may be safe & effective if platelets 50-100,000/µL  $^3$ 
    - Cancer patients excluded

AF



#### APT may have a role in selected ACS patients with thrombocytopenia

- Difficult to recruit in prospective trials (NCT00501345)
- Hematological malignancy, acute thrombocytopenia (<50,000/μL) & ACS<sup>1</sup>
  - <u>Continue aspirin vs. Hold</u>
    - Major **bleeding similar** (21% vs. 16%)
    - Recurrent MI similar (8% vs. 6%)
    - Increased survival and decreased cardiovascular mortality
- PCI in cancer patients with chronic thrombocytopenia (<100 ,000/μL)<sup>2</sup>
  - No major bleeding (N=98)
  - Overall survival highest with DAPT > aspirin only > no APT

ACS

<sup>1</sup>Feher, Oncologist 2017; <sup>2</sup>Iliescu, Am J Cardiol 2018

#### **APT management:** No formal guidelines

- SCAI consensus statement for cardio-oncology patients<sup>1</sup>
  - Aspirin if platelets > 10,000/μL
  - Aspirin & clopidogrel if platelets > 30,000/μL
    - ACS and/or coronary stenting

- Others suggest more conservative thresholds <sup>2</sup>
  - Hold all APT if platelets < 50,000/μL</li>
  - Restrict DAPT if platelets 50,000/μL 100,000/μL
  - Prefer clopidogrel over ticagrelor or prasugrel
  - Protein pump inhibitors



#### **Antiplatelet medication management:** <u>current practice</u>

- Aspirin use is variable in ACS and thrombocytopenia<sup>1,2,3</sup>
- DAPT use is not uncommon in STEMI<sup>3</sup>
- Platelet transfusions used to support APT in 34%<sup>3</sup>
- APT management is complex and affected by multiple patient factors<sup>3</sup>:
  - Platelet count
  - APT indication
  - Time since the arterial event
  - Prior gastrointestinal bleeding
- Physician characteristics and practice settings also affect management<sup>3</sup>

ACS



#### **MATTER study**

- **Population:** Hematological malignancy, platelets < 50,000/L & antithrombotic Rx
  - 1. Incidence and predictors of bleeding and thrombosis?
  - 2. Optimal management strategy?
- Design: Prospective observational cohort study (FU = 30 days)
  - a) Variables: Management and markers of hemostasis at baseline
  - b) Primary outcome: Composite of major bleeding and thrombosis

• 18 Centers in the Netherlands, Italy, Israel and USA (80 enrolled from 11 centers)

NCT03288441

Interim analysis: August 2019 (N\_target = 300)



#### Take home messages (1)

- 1. Thrombocytopenia does not reduce the risk of recurrent thrombosis
- 2. The **threshold for changes** in anticoagulation = **50,000/μL** 
  - Lower thresholds for antiplatelet drugs
  - Platelet counts remain poor predictors of bleeding
- 3. High bleeding risk with thrombocytopenia and anticoagulation in CAT or AF
- 4. a) Lower thrombotic risk in several scenarios:
  - Non-acute VTE, especially in autologous HSCT
  - Catheter-related thrombosis
  - Low risk atrial fibrillation





#### Take home messages (2)

- 4. b) High thrombotic risk in acute VTE
- 5. a) Platelet transfusion threshold of 50,000/µL carries risks
  - b) Reducing anticoagulation dose:
    - Possibly safe, but efficacy and optimal dose not known
- 6. No data on DOACs in severe thrombocytopenia  $\rightarrow$  exercise caution
  - Even prophylactic dose DOACs increase bleeding risk in cancer
- 7. In acute MI, continue/start aspirin in thrombocytopenia
  - Little evidence for platelets <  $30,000/\mu$ L
  - DAPT may be considered in selected patients





#### **Study collaborators**

#### Maastricht University / MUMC+

- Cardiovascular Research Institute (CARIM); Hematology Institute
  Waastricht UMC+
- Thrombosis Expertise Center
  - Hugo ten Cate
  - Erik Beckers
  - Vincent ten Cate
  - Arina ten Cate-Hoek
  - Yvonne Henskens

Maastricht UMC+
Maastricht University

#### Hospital Papa Giovanni XXIII, Bergamo

- Hemostasis and Thrombosis Center
  - Anna Falanga



- Cinzia Giaccherini
- Laura Russo

#### **Rabin Medical Center**



- Hematology Institute
  - Galia Spectre
  - Pia Raanani





avileader@yahoo.com